



Examination of Decision-Making Processes of School Administrators: Participation and Effectiveness

Elif Kaya 
MoE, Turkiye

Ramazan Atasoy 
Harran University, Turkiye

Ramazan Özkul 
Harran University, Turkiye

To cite this article:

Kaya, E., Atasoy, R., & Ozkul, R. (2025). Examination of decision-making processes of school administrators: Participation and effectiveness. *International Journal of Studies in Education and Science (IJSES)*, 6(1), 66-78. <https://doi.org/10.46328/ijses.124>

The International Journal of Studies in Education and Science (IJSES) is a peer-reviewed scholarly online journal. This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Authors alone are responsible for the contents of their articles. The journal owns the copyright of the articles. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand, or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of the research material. All authors are requested to disclose any actual or potential conflict of interest including any financial, personal or other relationships with other people or organizations regarding the submitted work.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

Examination of Decision-Making Processes of School Administrators: Participation and Effectiveness

Elif Kaya, Ramazan Atasoy, Ramazan Özkul

Article Info

Article History

Received:

21 August 2024

Accepted:

27 November 2024

Keywords

Decision-making processes

Participation

Effectiveness

School administrators

Abstract

The aim of this study is to examine the decision-making processes of school administrators in terms of participation and effectiveness. The study is designed as a case study, one of the qualitative research methods. The participants of the research consist of 10 school administrators working in the central district of Sanliurfa, located in the eastern part of Turkey, selected through purposive sampling. Data were obtained through a semi-structured interview form developed by the researchers. The data were analyzed using content analysis, and thematic findings were obtained. According to the results of the study, findings related to the definition of decision-making processes, participation and stakeholder influence, decision-making strategies, effective communication styles, challenges encountered and solutions, efficiency strategies, emotional intelligence and empathy, conflict management, and the monitoring and evaluation of outcomes emerged. In this context, various recommendations have been made to enhance participation and effectiveness in the decision-making processes of school administrators.

Introduction

Professions such as managers, academics, engineers, and lawyers, as well as jobs focused on society and the economy, typically involve decision-making and problem-solving processes. These processes require activities such as selecting elements, drawing attention, setting goals, finding or developing appropriate actions, and selecting and developing alternative actions. The final activity in this sequence is known as "the selection and development of alternative actions," which constitutes the decision-making process (Simon et al., 1987: 11). According to the Turkish Language Association's Comprehensive Turkish Dictionary, the concept of "decision" is defined as "1. A definitive judgment reached after considering an issue or problem. 2. A definitive judgment or ruling made after deliberation on a situation." In this context, decision-making refers to the process that occurs to arrive at a decision (Koontz & O'Donnell, 1978: 102).

A decision represents a commitment to action and can be defined in its simplest form as the selection of one option among many for action. The process of choosing among alternatives to achieve the goals and objectives of a society's belief system exemplifies the generation of ideology and ideas (Yılmaz, 2010: 148). Decision-making is expressed through the behavior of making choices. This process involves definitively selecting a specific option

from various alternatives that allow an individual to achieve a particular goal. In many respects, business life consists of a series of decisions made based on specific practices and activities (Altay, 2011: 49). Often, while trying to meet human needs, we find ourselves faced with the issue of decision-making. If there are multiple ways to meet a need for an object, the decision-making problem arises. A decision-making situation implies the presence of different options to be considered. In such situations, not only do we aim to evaluate as many alternatives as possible, but we also strive to understand (1) the best steps we can take to succeed and (2) our goals, desires, lifestyles, and values that attract special attention before making a decision (Harris, 2012). Decision-making represents the final stage in the processing of social information (Taşdelen, 2001: 40). Decision-making lies at the heart of management activities. For instance, in the planning process, the answers to questions such as what should be done, when, how, and where it should be done may involve decision-making processes. The success of an organization depends on the effectiveness of the decision-making process. To succeed in decision-making, managers must also possess critical thinking skills. This is because managers with strong critical thinking abilities are more likely to make sound decisions (Semerci, 2005: 191).

School Administrators and Decision-Making Styles

School administrators play a crucial role in enhancing teacher motivation and morale, which are essential for ensuring school effectiveness. Administrators should encourage teachers to collaborate and improve the quality of education in their classrooms. Increasing instructional responsibility, reducing job loss, developing objective standards, and promoting teamwork are fundamental requirements for successful school management. Additionally, school administrators should establish effective communication links between parents and sub-units within the school (Ünal, 2000: 261-268). Reward systems used to motivate teachers can significantly enhance their performance and the effectiveness of the methods they use. These rewards can take the form of salary increases and bonuses. Such practices not only improve collaboration among teachers but also lead to increased motivation levels (Bursaloğlu, 2008).

The evaluation of teachers' academic performance is not limited to assessing students' academic achievements. The evaluation process can include peer assessments, discussions among colleagues, individual evaluations, and the use of new learning strategies. School administrators must consider how to implement an effective reward system to enhance the academic performance of teachers. However, salary increases alone are not sufficient to improve teachers' academic performance; these increases must be supported by professional incentives. Therefore, school leaders should focus on professional development, decision-making processes, professional meetings, office training, fostering student creativity, and developing learning strategies (Bursaloğlu, 2008). The education system, in its aim to instill and produce societal values, relies on the existence of an organization based on these values (Karaköse & Altinkurt, 2009). In this context, values have a significant impact on the relationships between school administrators and employees. Similarly, maternal relationships have a profound influence on the dynamics between educational institution administrators and staff (Turgut, 1998). Management can be defined as an approach that allows individuals to come together around common values and work according to those values (Çelik, 2003).

Decision-making styles that disregard values, culture, beliefs, and traditions have lost their significance, whereas approaches that incorporate values into the decision-making process have gained importance in recent years (Aslanargun, 2012). The establishment and management of an organization based on specific values should be evaluated through value-based decision-making processes. As Lunenburg (2010) noted, there are three fundamental elements in the decision-making process. First, decision-making involves choosing between different options. Second, the process entails more than just selecting one of the available options.

School management and the decision-making process are complex, involving numerous factors. In this context, it is understood that administrators make decisions based on different values. Moreover, the consistency of values within the education system highlights the importance of values in decision-making. Additionally, the presence of differing perceptions in the definition and classification of values accompanies this process. Based on these findings, it is necessary to diversify research on values that influence the decision-making process in various contexts (Aslanargun, 2012; Karaköse & Altinkurt, 2009). The role of school administrators is crucial in enhancing the efficiency of school education. School principals should develop a vision for improving learning within the school, a vision that should be shaped by the teachers and shared among them (Balcı & Aydın, 2001). The performance standards of the school should be established collectively by teachers, students, and parents. School administrators should cultivate a quality culture within their schools, ensuring that this culture is embraced by all staff members. This culture should be adapted to enhance the level of learning in the school, and measures should be taken to mitigate the effects of cultural elements that could hinder learning (Balcı & Aydın, 2001).

School administrators should develop strategies to enhance teacher motivation and support their professional development. It is important to identify the factors contributing to performance, strengthen the interaction between these factors, and consider shared values. Additionally, emphasis should be placed on practices that promote collaboration within the school and develop effective learning strategies (Başaran, 2000; Oktan et al., 2023). School administrators should take supportive measures to improve teachers' academic performance through both long-term and short-term practices. Ensuring educational effectiveness requires consideration of quality indicators and the enhancement of teacher qualifications. In this process, various factors, including students' academic performance, peer evaluations, teachers' self-esteem, and systematic observations, should be considered in the performance evaluation process (Ünal, 2000).

In conclusion, school administrators should develop effective decision-making processes to enhance the motivation and performance of teachers and other school staff, ultimately contributing to the overall success of the school. In this context, the role of values, cultural elements, and effective communication in school management is of paramount importance. The decision-making processes of educational administrators are highly complex and influenced by various factors. Educational administrators typically hold positions such as school principals, administrators of educational institutions, and officials in the Ministry of Education, where they are responsible for managing educational systems. Their decision-making processes are generally subject to specific protocols and regulations, and they must also consider important principles such as ethics and fairness. In making decisions, educational administrators should take into account the overall goals of the educational system, student achievement, and the needs of society. The aim of this study is to examine the decision-making processes of

school administrators in terms of participation and effectiveness.

Method

Research Design

The research is designed as a case study, one of the qualitative research methods. A case study is a systematic process of collecting information to deeply examine how a specific system operates and functions. This methodological approach aims to obtain a comprehensive understanding of the system being studied by using multiple data collection techniques (Chmiliar, 2010).

Participant Group

The study's participants were selected using a purposive sampling method among educational administrators working at different levels in the Eyyübiye District of Şanlıurfa province, located in the eastern region of Turkey. To maximize diversity, participants were chosen considering different school types (primary school, middle school, high school) and experience levels. The participants comprised 10 educational administrators working in various types of schools in the Eyyübiye District. Demographic information about the participants is presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of Participants

Participants	Gender	School Type	Education Level	Professional Experience (Years)
P1	Female	Primary	Master's Degree	15
P2	Male	High School	Master's Degree	20
P3	Female	Middle School	Doctorate	10
P4	Male	Primary	Bachelor's Degree	7
P5	Female	High School	Master's Degree	12
P6	Male	Middle School	Bachelor's Degree	8
P7	Female	Primary	Doctorate	18
P8	Male	High School	Master's Degree	15
P9	Female	Middle School	Bachelor's Degree	5
P10	Male	Primary	Doctorate	20

The participants consist of 5 males and 5 females, with experience levels ranging from 7 to 20 years. The majority hold postgraduate degrees.

Data Collection Method

Data will be collected through semi-structured interviews. The semi-structured interview technique is one of the qualitative data collection methods commonly used in social sciences. This technique involves a dialogue form between the interviewer and the participant, where pre-prepared open-ended questions guide the conversation

within a specific topic. The interviewer can also ask additional questions based on the participant's responses. The primary aim is to gain in-depth information about the participant's experiences, opinions, and thoughts. The interviewer directs the interview process while allowing the participant to speak freely and encourages them to express themselves in their own words. Semi-structured interviews provide an opportunity to understand the participant's personal perspective and experience, which helps researchers develop a more comprehensive and contextual understanding of the topic (Polat, 2022).

The interviews were conducted using an interview guide designed to cover the research questions. Each interview lasted approximately 40-60 minutes and was conducted face-to-face in an environment where participants could express their thoughts freely. The interviews were later transcribed into text. The primary questions posed to the administrators during the interviews were as follows:

1. How do you describe your decision-making processes as an educational administrator? What factors influence these processes?
2. How important is the principle of participation in your decision-making process?
3. How much influence do stakeholders have on your decisions?
4. What strategies do you use to ensure a participatory decision-making process?
5. What are the effective communication forms among educational administrators in decision-making processes?
6. What types of challenges do you encounter in your decision-making processes? What steps do you take to overcome these challenges?
7. What strategies do you implement to increase efficiency in your decision-making processes?
8. How important are emotional intelligence and empathy in your decision-making processes? How do you develop these traits?
9. How do you manage conflicts in your decision-making processes? What approaches do you adopt for conflict resolution?
10. What strategies do you use to monitor the implementation of your decisions and evaluate their outcomes?
11. How do you ensure continuous improvement in your decision-making processes?
12. What do you do to improve your management style?

Data Analysis

The collected data were analyzed using content analysis. The transcribed texts were thematically coded, and the main findings were evaluated within the framework of participation and effectiveness dimensions in decision-making processes.

Results

In this section of the study, the obtained themes are presented under headings. The findings related to the themes are detailed and interpreted through tables.

Participation and Stakeholder Influence

To understand the role of different stakeholder groups in decision-making processes, it is essential to evaluate their influence on participants.

Table 2. Influence of Stakeholders on Participants' Decision-making Processes

Stakeholder Group	Frequency	Example Quote
Teachers	8	"Teachers' feedback is crucial as it often highlights practical issues and needs."P3
Parents	7	"Parents' perspectives are valuable in understanding the broader impact of decisions on the school community."P5
Students	5	"Student input helps ensure that decisions are relevant and supportive of their learning experiences."P10

This table shows the impact of different stakeholder groups on the decision-making processes of participants. Teachers are identified as having the highest influence.

Decision-making Strategies

Examining the various strategies employed in decision-making provides insight into how participants manage their processes and engage with stakeholders.

Table 3. Utilized Decision-making Strategies

Strategy Type	Frequency	Example Quote
Meetings	6	"I conduct meetings with teachers and student councils at the beginning of each term to discuss new policies."P3
Surveys	5	"I use surveys to gather feedback from students and staff about policy changes."P4
Observations	3	"I observe classroom interactions to gain insights into the effectiveness of new initiatives."P7

Most participants support their decision-making processes through strategies like meetings and surveys. Meetings are the most frequently used strategy.

Effective Communication Methods

Effective communication is crucial in ensuring clarity and transparency in decision-making processes. The following table outlines the methods used by educational administrators to communicate effectively. Educational administrators emphasize the importance of open and transparent communication. Email updates are identified as the most commonly used method of communication.

Table 4. Communication Methods Used by Educational Administrators

Communication Method	Frequency	Example Quote
Email Updates	8	"I regularly send email updates to keep everyone informed."P1
Informational Meetings	5	"I organize informational meetings to provide updates and gather feedback."P5
Bulletin Boards	3	"I use notice boards to post important announcements and updates."P6

Challenges and Solutions

Addressing challenges effectively is essential for improving decision-making processes. The table below details the common challenges faced by educational administrators and the strategies they employ to overcome these challenges.

Table 5. Challenges and Applied Solution Strategies

Challenges	Frequency	Applied Solution Strategies
Time Constraints	7	Time management techniques (P1), prioritization (P4)
Resource Limitations	4	Effective resource utilization (P5), budget management (P8)
Stakeholder Conflicts	2	Mediation (P7), open communication (P9), conflict resolution meetings (P10)
Technological Barriers	1	Integration of digital tools, training (P6)

Time constraints emerge as the most common challenge faced by participants. To address this, time management and prioritization strategies are employed.

Efficiency Strategies

Improving efficiency in decision-making processes is crucial for effective management. The following table outlines the strategies employed by educational administrators to enhance efficiency.

Table 6. Efficiency Enhancement Strategies

Strategy Type	Frequency	Example Quote
Digital Tools	8	"Digital tools are used to enhance efficiency."P2
Time Management Techniques	8	"Effective time management increases efficiency."P8
Task Delegation	6	"Delegating tasks appropriately helps maintain efficiency."P9

Among efficiency strategies, the use of digital tools is prominent. This helps participants manage their processes more effectively.

Emotional Intelligence and Empathy

Emotional intelligence and empathy play a vital role in the decision-making process, particularly when addressing complex and sensitive issues. The following table presents the significance of these attributes as reported by participants.

Table 7. Importance of Emotional Intelligence and Empathy in Decision-making

Attribute	Frequency	Example Quote
Emotional Intelligence	6	"Empathy allows me to make fair decisions."P2
Empathy	4	"I use empathy to understand students' situations."P10

Emotional intelligence and empathy are considered crucial, especially in difficult decision-making situations. Participants find these attributes essential for making fair decisions.

Conflict Management

Effective conflict management is crucial for maintaining a positive and productive environment. The table below outlines the approaches used by participants to handle conflicts.

Table 8. Approaches Used in Conflict Management

Conflict Management Approach	Frequency	Example Quote
Open Dialogue	7	"I resolve conflicts through open dialogue."P3
Mediation	5	"I use mediation in conflict situations."P6
Patience and Fairness	3	"I strive to be patient and fair in conflict management."P8

Open dialogue and mediation are prominent approaches in conflict management. Participants emphasize the importance of patience and fairness in resolving conflicts.

Monitoring and Evaluation of Outcomes

Effective monitoring and evaluation are essential for assessing the impact and effectiveness of decisions. The table below summarizes the strategies used by participants for monitoring and evaluating decision implementation.

Table 9. Strategies for Monitoring and Evaluating Decision Implementation

Monitoring Strategy	Frequency	Example Quote
Reporting	6	"I prepare regular reports to track decisions."P1
Feedback Meetings	3	"I conduct feedback meetings."P5
Performance Monitoring	2	"I track the impact of decisions through performance monitoring."P7

Participants prefer using regular reporting and feedback meetings to monitor the implementation of decisions. These methods are crucial for evaluating the effectiveness and impact of the decisions made.

Continuous Development

Continuous professional development is crucial for improving management practices and adapting to new challenges. The table below outlines the strategies participants use to enhance their management styles.

Table 10. Strategies for Developing Management Style

Development Strategy	Frequency	Example Quote
Professional Development	8	"I regularly attend professional development seminars to enhance my skills."P2
Training and Seminars	6	"I participate in various training programs to improve my management techniques."P4
Mentorship and Consulting	4	"I seek mentorship from experienced managers to gain insights and guidance."P9

Among the strategies for developing management style, professional development seminars and training are the most commonly utilized methods. Participants report that these strategies are effective in enhancing their skills and management practices.

Discussion

The analysis reveals that educational administrators predominantly adopt inclusive and democratic decision-making processes. This approach aligns with participatory decision-making theories which suggest that involving various stakeholders enhances decision quality and acceptance (Bryson, 2018). Administrators' emphasis on considering the viewpoints of all relevant parties, as seen in Table 1, reflects a commitment to ensuring that every voice is heard, a principle fundamental to effective educational leadership (Mitchell, 2020). Participatory decision-making not only fosters a sense of ownership among stakeholders but also leads to more informed and balanced decisions.

Table 2 highlights the significant influence of stakeholders on decision-making processes. Teachers have been identified as having the most impact, followed by parents and students. This finding is consistent with research indicating that teachers, as frontline implementers of educational policies, play a crucial role in shaping and implementing decisions (Goldring & Greenfield, 2002). The substantial involvement of teachers underscores their critical role in educational decision-making, while the contributions of parents and students, though less prominent, reflect a comprehensive effort to incorporate diverse perspectives (Epstein, 2018).

Table 3 reveals that meetings and surveys are the most frequently employed decision-making strategies. This preference aligns with collaborative decision-making models which advocate for structured approaches to gather

input and foster consensus (Vroom & Yetton, 1973). The use of observations, while less frequent, still supports the decision-making process by providing additional context and insights. These methods underscore the importance of structured, data driven approaches in enhancing decision quality and effectiveness. Effective communication is highlighted as crucial for decision-making in Table 4. The use of email updates and informational meetings is consistent with communication theories that stress the importance of transparency and regular updates in maintaining stakeholder engagement (Shannon & Weaver, 1949). These methods ensure that stakeholders are kept informed and involved in the decision-making process, which is vital for building trust and ensuring effective implementation (Kotter, 1996).

Table 5 documents the challenges faced by administrators, including time constraints and resource limitations. Strategies such as time management, prioritization, and resource management are employed to address these issues. These findings reflect best practices in overcoming common administrative obstacles (Mintzberg, 1975). Effective management of time and resources is essential for maintaining productivity and ensuring that administrative processes are efficient and effective. The strategies for enhancing efficiency, as detailed in Table 6, include the use of digital tools and time management techniques. The widespread adoption of digital tools aligns with research indicating that technology can significantly improve administrative efficiency and productivity (Brynjolfsson & McElheran, 2016). Training staff to effectively utilize these tools is crucial for maximizing their potential and ensuring that administrative processes are streamlined and effective.

Table 7 emphasizes the importance of emotional intelligence and empathy in decision-making. Research highlights that emotional intelligence is critical for understanding and addressing the needs of others, which is essential for making fair and balanced decisions (Goleman, 1995). Administrators' recognition of these qualities underscores their role in fostering supportive and equitable educational environments. Table 8 presents approaches to conflict management, including open dialogue and mediation. These methods are supported by conflict resolution theories which advocate for constructive approaches to resolving disputes (Fisher, Ury, & Patton, 2011). The use of dialogue and mediation helps in maintaining positive relationships and ensuring that conflicts are resolved in a manner that is fair and acceptable to all parties involved. The strategies for monitoring and evaluating decision implementation, as detailed in Table 9, include regular reporting and feedback meetings. These methods are essential for tracking the progress and impact of decisions, ensuring that they are effectively implemented and adjusted as needed (Kirkpatrick & Kirkpatrick, 2006). Regular evaluation is crucial for assessing the effectiveness of decisions and making necessary adjustments to improve outcomes. Table 10 outlines strategies for continuous professional development. Participation in seminars and training programs, along with mentorship, is consistent with research indicating that ongoing professional development is vital for improving management practices and leadership effectiveness (Day, 2001). Investing in professional development helps administrators to stay updated with best practices and enhance their skills and competencies.

Conclusion

The analysis reveals that participants predominantly describe their decision-making processes as inclusive and democratic. Administrators stress the importance of considering the viewpoints of all relevant parties to ensure

that every voice is heard. This commitment to participatory decision-making is seen as a fundamental aspect of their roles. Stakeholders significantly influence decision-making processes. Teachers are identified as having the most impact, followed by parents and students. The substantial involvement of teachers highlights their critical role in shaping decisions, while the contributions of parents and students, though less prominent, are also noted. This approach demonstrates a comprehensive effort to incorporate diverse perspectives into decision-making. Participants use various strategies to facilitate decision-making, with meetings and surveys being the most frequently employed methods. This preference for structured, collaborative approaches suggests an emphasis on gathering input and fostering consensus. While observations are used less frequently, they still contribute to the decision-making process. Effective communication is crucial for decision-making. Participants commonly use email updates and informational meetings to ensure transparency and keep stakeholders informed. These methods are valued for maintaining clear communication channels and supporting decision-making processes.

Administrators face challenges such as time constraints and resource limitations. Strategies to address these issues include time management, prioritization, and resource management. Budget adjustments also help overcome limitations, reflecting a proactive approach to managing common obstacles. Strategies for enhancing efficiency include the use of digital tools and time management techniques. The widespread adoption of digital tools indicates a growing reliance on technology to support efficient decision-making and improve productivity. Emotional intelligence and empathy are recognized as essential for fair and balanced decision-making. These qualities are particularly important for understanding and addressing the needs of teachers and students. Approaches to conflict management include open dialogue and mediation. These methods are favored for their effectiveness in resolving issues and maintaining positive relationships among stakeholders. Monitoring and evaluating decision implementation involve regular reporting and feedback meetings. These methods are crucial for tracking the progress and impact of decisions, ensuring they are effectively implemented and adjusted as needed. Strategies for continuous professional development include participation in seminars and training programs. While mentorship and consulting are less common, they provide valuable guidance and insights for improving management practices.

In summary, the findings highlight a strong commitment to participatory decision-making, effective communication, and continuous professional development among educational administrators. The employed strategies and approaches reflect a comprehensive and adaptive approach to managing educational environments and addressing challenges.

Recommendations

Given the significant influence of teachers, parents, and students on decision-making processes, it is recommended that educational administrators develop more structured mechanisms for stakeholder engagement. Implementing regular, formalized feedback loops, such as scheduled surveys and focus group discussions, can ensure that all stakeholders have ample opportunities to contribute their perspectives. This will not only improve the quality of decisions but also foster a stronger sense of community and collaboration within the educational environment.

The findings highlight the importance of continuous professional development for improving management practices. It is advisable for educational institutions to invest in targeted training programs and workshops that focus on enhancing skills in areas such as emotional intelligence, conflict management, and strategic planning. Additionally, establishing mentorship programs can provide emerging leaders with valuable insights and guidance from experienced administrators, further supporting their growth and effectiveness. To address the challenges of time constraints and resource limitations, educational administrators should continue to leverage digital tools and technology. Implementing advanced data management systems, communication platforms, and decision support tools can streamline processes and enhance efficiency. Training staff to effectively use these technologies will ensure that they are utilized to their full potential, thereby optimizing decision-making processes and improving overall operational effectiveness.


References

- Altay, E. (2011). *Yönetim ve organizasyon*. Pegem Akademi.
- Aslanargun, A. (2012). Değer temelli karar verme süreci: eğitim kurumlarında uygulama ve Geliştirme. *Eğitim ve Bilim*, 37(164), 36-50.
- Balcı, A., & Aydın, İ. (2001). *Okul yönetimi ve eğitimde kalite*. Pegem Akademi.
- Başaran, İ. E. (2000). *Eğitim yönetimi: teori ve uygulama*. Hedef Eğitim Yayınları.
- Brynjolfsson, E., & McElheran, K. (2016). *The digitization of business: the impact of digital tools on business efficiency*. MIT Sloan Management Review.
- Bryson, J. M. (2018). *Strategic planning for public and nonprofit organizations: a guide to strengthening and sustaining organizational achievement*. JosseyBass.
- Bursalıoğlu, Z. (2008). *Okul yönetiminde yeni yaklaşımlar*. Pegem Akademi.
- Chmiliar, L. (2010). Case study research: Methodology. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 9(2), 99-110. <https://doi.org/10.1177/160940690900900207>
- Çelik, V. (2003). *Değer temelli yönetim*. Nobel Yayın Dağıtım.
- Day, C. (2001). *Effective leadership and reflective practice*. Routledge.
- Epstein, J. L. (2018). *School, family, and community partnerships: your handbook for action*. Corwin Press.
- Fisher, R., Ury, W., & Patton, B. (2011). *Getting to yes: negotiating agreement without giving in*. Penguin Books.
- Goldring, E., & Greenfield, W. (2002). Understanding leadership in education. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 38(3), 350-380. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0013161X02239488>
- Goleman, D. (1995). *Emotional intelligence: why it can matter more than IQ*. Bantam Books.
- Harris, R. (2012). *Introduction to decision making*. Virtual Salt
- Karaköse, T., & Altınkurt, Y. (2009). *Okul yönetimi ve liderlik*. Nobel Yayın Dağıtım.
- Kirkpatrick, D. L., & Kirkpatrick, J. D. (2006). *Evaluating training programs: the four levels*. BerrettKoehler Publishers.
- Kirkpatrick, D. L., & Kirkpatrick, J. D. (2006). *Evaluating training programs: the four levels*. Berrett-Koehler Publishers.
- Koontz, H., & O'Donnell, C. (1978). *Essentials of management*. McGraw-Hill.
- Kotter, J. P. (1996). *Leading change*. Harvard Business Review Press.

- Lunenburg, F. C. (2010). The decision-making process. *International Journal of Management, Business, and Administration*, 13(1), 1-9.
- Mintzberg, H. (1975). *The manager's job: folklore and fact*. Management Science.
- Mitchell, D. (2020). *Making sense of leadership: the role of leadership in effective schools*. Routledge.
- Oktan, M., Kuur, S., Şentürk, S., Çelenk, O. & Ünal, G. (2023). Okul yöneticileri ve öğretmenlerin motivasyon ile ilgili yapılan bilimsel çalışmalarda elde edilen sonuçların içerik analizi. *International Social Sciences Studies Journal*, 9(108), 5457-5474. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.29228/sss.67853>
- Polat, A. (2022). Nitel araştırmalarda yarı-yapılandırılmış görüşme soruları: Soru form ve türleri, nitelikler ve sıralama. *Anadolu Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi*, 22(Özel Sayı 2), 161-182. <https://doi.org/10.18037/ausbd.1227335>
- Semerci, A. S. (2005). *İş motivasyonu ve sonuçları: Türkiye Cumhuriyeti Merkez Bankası'nda bir uygulama* (Uzmanlık yeterlik tezi). Türkiye Cumhuriyeti Merkez Bankası, İnsan Kaynakları Genel Müdürlüğü.
- Shannon, C. E., & Weaver, W. (1949). *The Mathematical Theory of Communication*. University of Illinois Press.
- Taşdelen, E. (2001). *Sosyal bilimlerde karar verme süreci*. İmge Kitabevi.
- Turgut, K. (1998). *Eğitimde ilişkiler ve iletişim*. Pegem Akademi.
- Ünal, S. (2000). *Okul yönetiminde performans ölçümü*. Nobel Yayın Dağıtım.
- Vroom, V. H., & Yetton, P. W. (1973). *Leadership and Decision-making*. University of Pittsburgh Press.
- Yılmaz, K. (2010). *Karar verme süreci ve ideoloji*. Çamlıca Yayınları.

Author Information

Elif Kaya


 <https://orcid.org/0009-0003-3042-5034>

MoE

Turkiye

Contact email: kayaelif10@gmail.com


Ramazan Atasoy

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9198-074X>

Harran University

Turkiye

Ramazan Özkul

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9757-6062>

Harran University

Turkiye
